

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
14 October 2004 (14.10.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/087443 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: B60F 3/00, B60G 21/055

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB2004/001422

(22) International Filing Date: 29 March 2004 (29.03.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data: 0307318.6 31 March 2003 (31.03.2003) GB

(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): GIBBS TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [GB/GB]; Avenue Road, Nuneaton, Warwickshire CV11 4LY (GB). GIBBS TECHNOLOGIES LTD.; La Motte Chambers, St. Helier, Jersey JE1 1BJ (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): REES, Philip, Frederick [GB/GB]; 40 Linden Avenue, Kidderminster, Worcestershire DY10 3AA (GB). MUDD, Anthony, Roy [GB/GB]; 19 Falmouth Close, Horeston Grange, Nuneaton, Warwickshire CV11 6GB (GB).

(74) Agent: BAIN SMITH, Timothy; Wickens Manor, Charing, Nr Ashford, Kent, TN27 0DT (GB).


(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: AMPHIBIOUS VEHICLE SUSPENSION

WO 2004/087443 A1





Published:

- *with international search report*
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Amphibious vehicle suspension

The present invention relates to an amphibious vehicle; and particularly to such a vehicle having at least one pair of wheels arranged to retract from road mode to marine mode.

Such a vehicle is shown in US Patent No. 5,531,179 (Roycroft). In order to improve road performance, it has been proposed to provide anti-roll bars for amphibians. However this proposal has only, so far as is known, been applied to a slow speed, low marine performance, vehicle such as the Hobbycar. This vehicle was a displacement vessel with an advertised top speed of 5 knots in water; its anti-roll bar was located outside of the hull. For high performance in the marine mode, it is necessary to reduce any external protrusions so that the vehicle can be made to plane.

When a marine vessel is designed to plane, marine handling in cornering is generally much improved if the hull has a deadrise: that is, it is angled upwards at either side from the keel, which passes along the longitudinal centre line of the vessel. Such a hull profile will inevitably raise the centre of gravity of the vessel when compared to a flat bottomed hull. When such a vessel is an amphibious vehicle with alternative use on road, the raised centre of gravity may markedly increase roll angles on the road, unless an anti-roll bar is fitted.

As has been proposed in the Roycroft vehicle mentioned above, the front and indeed also the rear road wheels (it is a four wheeled vehicle) are arranged to fold upwards substantially above the waterline in marine mode. That is, the wheels when retracted are laterally closer to each other, than when protracted in road mode. There is therefore a problem when linking the wheel suspensions by an anti-roll bar, as to how to fold and retract the wheels. A conventional anti-roll bar would inhibit movement of wheels on opposite sides of the body towards each other.

30

According to the invention, an amphibious vehicle having a hull is provided with at least a pair of wheels on opposite sides of the vehicle, each wheel of the pair being mounted by means of a suspension to the body of the vehicle, the suspension being so

arranged as to be enabled by retraction means to retract its wheel upwards into a stowed position, an anti-roll bar being mounted so as to connect the suspensions on opposite sides of the vehicle, the anti-roll bar being further arranged to partially rotate from a first position in road mode to a second position in marine mode.

5

In this context, the term "partially rotates" refers to rotation through an arc of less than three hundred and sixty degrees; as opposed to a part only of the bar rotating.

10 The suspension may be a front or a rear suspension. The wheels may be steered, passively steered, or not steered; and may be driven wheels.

15 The ability for the anti-roll bar to rotate enables the anti-roll bar to be mounted within the hull of the vehicle so that it does not cause water flow problems below the hull in the marine mode. Preferably, the anti-roll bar is mounted in at least one bearing within the vehicle, so that when the wheels are retracted, the anti-roll bar rotates about a single axis. This provision ensures the anti-roll bar protrudes through the hull of the vehicle through a minimum sized opening. In turn, this ensures that sealing arrangements between the hull and the anti-roll bar are kept as simple and as cost effective as possible.

20 A preferred form of seal for the anti-roll bar is mounted inside the hull, so as to ensure an optimum hull shape. To achieve an adequate water seal in a position where the anti-roll bar is usually above the water line when the vehicle is planing, the seal has an internal circular lip portion extending outwardly from an inner part of the seal, the lip portion being arranged to seat against the bar; or against a ring or a collar around the bar.

25 Water pressure mostly caused by the vehicle slamming on the water when planing is therefore arranged to act on the lip to improve sealing.

30 Alternatively, the seal may be mounted outside the hull, with the internal circular lip portion extending inwardly from an outer part of the seal. This may improve seal performance by allowing water pressure to act over a greater area, but requires a different hull shape to package the seal effectively without leaving it open to damage by foreign objects.

The invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a front view of the Roycroft amphibious vehicle shown in US patent no. 5,531,179, with the front wheels in road mode, and in broken lines in marine mode;

5 Figure 2 is a perspective side view of a front suspension in road mode, for a planing amphibious vehicle according to the invention, modified from that shown in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a perspective side view of the front suspension of Figure 2 in marine mode;

10 Figure 4 is a cross sectional front view of an anti-roll bar being part of the suspension of Figure 2;

Figure 5 is an enlarged view of part of Figure 4 showing sealing;

Figure 6 is a cross sectional front view of an anti-roll bar being part of the suspension of Figure 2, with an alternative sealing arrangement; and

Figure 7 is an enlarged view of part of Figure 6 showing sealing.

15

In Figure 1, Roycroft's amphibious vehicle as described in US patent no. 5,531,179 is shown with the front wheels 2 shown in unbroken lines down in road mode and at 2' in broken lines up in marine mode. The wheel bearings are also shown at 4 in the road mode position and at 4' in the marine mode position. The bottom 6 of the hull 8 of the vehicle

20

forms a lower part of a clearly necessary watertight whole. The wheels 2 are designed and arranged to be outside the watertight area; and to fold upwards into pockets 10. This Roycroft vehicle did not have an anti-roll bar; which would have been apparently a problem to arrange since at least the wheel bearings 4' are closer together than those at 4.

25

In Figures 2 and 3, the suspension of the invention is shown in detail, and comprises a wheel hub plate 12 mounted for rotation on bearing component 14 known as an upright, having mountings 16 for a brake caliper (not shown). Upright 14 has a minor arm 18 extending forwardly to receive the outer end of steering link 20; and a major arm 22 extending upwardly to connect by means of a horizontal ball joint 24 with top arm 26.

30

The top arm has a rearward extension 28 which receives part of a suspension height sensor, enabling water depth sensing as described in the applicant's co-pending GB patent application no. 0128338.1.

Supporting the lower part of upright 14 is a bottom wishbone 30, which is pivotally mounted to the vehicle body by bushes 31 for pivotal movement about axis B which intersects axis B of steering arm 32. Steering arm 32 is mounted in water tight bush 34 in bush plate 36, which is secured to the vehicle body. Also pivotally attached to the bottom 5 wishbone 30 is piston rod 38 arranged to extend and retract along axis C from and into hydraulic cylinder 40; which is pivotally mounted about axis G to the vehicle body at pivot points 42, as described in the applicant's co-pending patent application, published as WO 02/44006. Further linked to bottom wishbone 30 by anti-roll bar link 44 is anti-roll bar 46, which is mounted for rotation about axis F in bracket 48 secured to the vehicle body as will 10 be described below.

Top arm 26 is also pivotally mounted to the body by bushes 50 for pivotal movement about axis A, which is tilted at an angle θ from line B'; which in turn is parallel to lower pivotal axis B. θ may vary from 2° to 3° , but is typically set at about 2.4° to inhibit 15 dive. Axis A is normally three to four degrees to the horizontal, and in this case preferably about 3.5° . Axis G is parallel to axis B, and perpendicular to axis C.

Although the suspension is here shown for a steered wheel, it may be applied to a non-steered wheel by using link 20 as a track control arm, fixed directly to the vehicle 20 body rather than to a steering arm 32 as shown. It is also known in the automotive engineering art to use passive steering to adjust the steering angle of wheels not steered by the driver, causing the wheels to "toe in" or to "toe out". Such a system can be tuned to make chassis behaviour in cornering, in braking, or even in crosswinds, more predictable. In the case of the suspension shown in the figures, such passive steering may be introduced 25 by careful selection of suspension geometry, and by adjustment of hardness of suspension bushes in different axes (x, y, and z – not shown). Equally, the suspension could be adapted to a driven pair of wheels by adding a driveshaft (not shown).

Turning to Figures 4 and 5, the anti-roll bar 46 is shown from the front of the 30 vehicle; part of whose body 52 has bearing 48 arranged to pivotally support bar 46. The bar comprises a central straight portion 54 and a cranked portion 56 at each end (only the

near side end being shown). At the terminal end of each portion 56, a hole 58 is provided to attach link 44 by a pivotable connection.

In order to ensure that the bar 46 is sealed to the exterior watertight bodywork 60 of the vehicle, an elastomeric seal 62 is provided in hole 64 in the watertight bodywork. Seal 62 extends inwardly into the vehicle and engages with annular groove 66 of collar 68, which is fixed - preferably by welding - to portion 54 of bar 46. Conventionally, such a collar would be welded to the bar by tack welding only; but for waterproofing, it has been found best for marine use to weld the collar continuously all the way around the bar. Collar 68 has an outwardly facing cylindrical portion 70 which provides a secondary sealing surface to the primary sealing surface of groove 66. The seal has an outwardly directed lip portion 72, which is biased against cylindrical surface 70; so that water entering hole 64 under pressure caused by the vehicle slamming on the water at high speed tends to tighten the seal. Said seal is fixed into hole 64 by outer and inner flanged parts 74 and 76.

15

Collar 68 is used to provide a groove 66 for sealing purposes, but use of this collar - or a simpler ring form 78 as described below with reference to Figures 6 and 7 - is optional. To save additional parts count and the welding operations, grooves 66 could be cut straight into anti-roll bar 46 at each end. The bar would then have to be made from larger diameter stock, as its effective diameter, controlling its behaviour as a torsion spring, would be the diameter at the base of groove 66.

The cranking of portion 56 of the anti-roll bar is designed so as to be insertable through holes 64 in the bodywork 60 during vehicle assembly; avoiding the additional cost and complication of a two-part anti-roll bar. It will be noted from Figures 2 and 3 that as the wheels are retracted upwardly into a stowed position by retraction means comprising cylinder 40 and piston rod 38 (Fig. 3), the anti-roll bar 46 is caused to partially rotate from its first position in Figure 2 to its second position in Figure 3. From a static position on the road with driver only in the vehicle, the angle of rotation upwards about axis F of the anti-roll bar is between twenty seven and forty seven degrees; but preferably in the embodiment shown, about thirty seven degrees. Furthermore, anti-roll bar 46 may rotate downwards by up to eighteen degrees as the wheel droops when the amphibious vehicle is floating - usually during marine to land conversion, or vice versa.

An alternative embodiment of the sealing arrangement is shown in Figures 6 and 7. In this case, ring 78 (corresponding to collar 68 in the embodiment of Figures 4 and 5) and seal 62 are reversed in their orientation on bar 46. Ring 78 is more economical in its use of metal than collar 68, but collar 68 may support seal 62 more effectively. In the embodiment of Figures 6 and 7, bodywork 60 is cranked inwards on either side of the vehicle to protect seal 62 from foreign object damage, and to allow ring 78 to be fitted inboard of cranked portion 56 of bar 46.

As can be seen from Figure 7, in this embodiment, water pressure P would tend to force the essentially tubular section 82 of seal 62 in towards anti-roll bar 46, causing the outer end of seal 62 to lift out of groove 66, as shown at L. In this case, lip seal 72 acts to maintain the profile of seal 62 by pressing against section 80 of ring 78, maintaining the profile of section 82 to maintain the integrity of seal 62. In a further alternative embodiment (not shown), the inner end 80 of ring 78 could be built up in diameter to support section 82 directly, avoiding the need for lip seal 72.

CLAIMS

1. An amphibious vehicle having a hull provided with at least one pair of wheels on opposite sides of the vehicle, each wheel of the pair being mounted by means of a

5 suspension to the body of the vehicle, the suspension being so arranged as to be enabled by retraction means to retract its wheel upwards into a stowed position, an anti-roll bar being mounted so as to connect the suspensions on opposite sides of the vehicle, the anti-roll bar being further arranged to partially rotate from a first position in road mode to a second position in marine mode.

10

2. An amphibious vehicle according to claim 1, where said pair of wheels are front wheels.

15

3. An amphibious vehicle according to claim 1, where said pair of wheels are rear wheels.

4. An amphibious vehicle according to any of the above claims, where said pair of wheels are steered.

20

5. An amphibious vehicle according to any of the above claims, where said pair of wheels are passively steered.

6. An amphibious vehicle according to any of the above claims, where said pair of wheels are driven wheels.

25

7. An amphibious vehicle according to any of the above claims, where the anti-roll bar is mounted to the vehicle by means of at least one bearing, so that when the wheels are retracted, the anti-roll bar rotates about a single axis.

30

8. An amphibious vehicle according to claim 7, where the anti-roll bar is sealed within the vehicle by means of an elastomeric seal, located within a water tight exterior bodywork of the vehicle.

9. An amphibious vehicle according to claim 8, where the seal has an internal circular lip portion extending outwardly from an inner part of the seal, the lip portion being arranged to seat against the anti-roll bar.

5

(10) An amphibious vehicle according to any one of claims 1 to 7, where the anti-roll bar is sealed within the vehicle by means of an elastomeric seal, located to a water tight exterior bodywork of the vehicle, and wherein the seal has an internal circular lip portion extending inwardly from an outer part of the seal, the lip portion being arranged to seat against the anti-roll bar.

10

(11) An amphibious vehicle according to claim 8, where the seal has an internal circular lip portion extending outwardly from an inner part of the seal, the lip portion being arranged to seat against a ring or collar around the anti-roll bar.

15

(12) An amphibious vehicle according to any one of claims 1 to 7, where the anti-roll bar is sealed within the vehicle by means of an elastomeric seal, located to a water tight exterior bodywork of the vehicle, and wherein the seal has an internal circular lip portion extending inwardly from an outer part of the seal, the lip portion being arranged to seat against a ring or collar around the anti-roll bar.

20

13. An amphibious vehicle according to claim 11 or claim 12, where the ring or collar is continuously welded to the anti-roll bar.

25

14. An amphibious vehicle according to any of the above claims, where the vehicle may be operated as a planing vehicle in marine mode.

15. An amphibious vehicle as herein described or as illustrated in any one or more of Figures 2 to 7.

30

-1/5-




FIG. 1

PRIOR ART




FIG. 2

-3/5-




FIG. 3

-4/5-




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

-5/5-




FIG. 7




FIG. 6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001422

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 B60F3/00 B60G21/055

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 B60F B60G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 895 881 A (BPW BERGISCHE ACHSEN KG) 10 February 1999 (1999-02-10) paragraph '0012!; figures 1-3 -----	1-7
A	-----	8-15
X	EP 1 153 775 A (MERITOR LIGHT VEHICLE SYS LTD) 14 November 2001 (2001-11-14) paragraphs '0003!, '0011!; figures -----	1-7
A	US 5 531 179 A (ROYCROFT MARIE A ET AL) 2 July 1996 (1996-07-02) cited in the application figure 2 -----	1
A	WO 90/11903 A (HEYRING CHRISTOPHER BRIAN) 18 October 1990 (1990-10-18) the whole document ----- -----	1
		-/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 August 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

23/08/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Cauderlier, F

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001422

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 687 669 A (ENGLER MANFRED W) 18 November 1997 (1997-11-18) column 6, line 18 - line 42; figure 8 -----	1
A	US 3 280 785 A (MYCROFT GEORGE H) 25 October 1966 (1966-10-25) column 2, line 7 - column 3, line 8 column 5, line 40 - line 69 column 6, line 3 - line 20 column 6, line 49 - line 55 figures 11,12,14 -----	1
A	US 5 755 173 A (COSTA RON ET AL) 26 May 1998 (1998-05-26) figures 6-8 column 17, line 66 - line 67 -----	1
A	US 3 114 347 A (HANNS TRIPPEL ET AL) 17 December 1963 (1963-12-17) column 5, line 65 - line 75; figure 2 -----	1
A	US 2 173 793 A (WALTHER DOBLHOFF) 19 September 1939 (1939-09-19) column 2, line 18 - line 46 figures 4,6,8 -----	1
A	US 3 860 982 A (RUMSEY ROLLIN DOUGLAS) 21 January 1975 (1975-01-21) column 5, line 2 - line 55; figures 10-12 -----	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001422

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 0895881	A	10-02-1999	DE	19733968 A1		25-02-1999
			DE	59802034 D1		13-12-2001
			EP	0895881 A2		10-02-1999
EP 1153775	A	14-11-2001	US	6648350 B1		18-11-2003
			BR	0102699 A		02-01-2002
			EP	1153775 A1		14-11-2001
US 5531179	A	02-07-1996	NZ	250979 A		26-03-1996
			AT	218452 T		15-06-2002
			AU	683330 B2		06-11-1997
			AU	1162995 A		07-09-1995
			DE	69526925 D1		11-07-2002
			DE	69526925 T2		09-01-2003
			EP	0742761 A1		20-11-1996
			ES	2176316 T3		01-12-2002
			WO	9523074 A1		31-08-1995
			US	RE36901 E		03-10-2000
WO 9011903	A	18-10-1990	WO	9011903 A1		18-10-1990
US 5687669	A	18-11-1997	NONE			
US 3280785	A	25-10-1966	US	3492044 A		27-01-1970
			US	3362373 A		09-01-1968
US 5755173	A	26-05-1998	US	5544607 A		13-08-1996
US 3114347	A	17-12-1963	NONE			
US 2173793	A	19-09-1939	DE	690820 C		08-05-1940
			FR	813819 A		09-06-1937
			GB	477798 A		06-01-1938
US 3860982	A	21-01-1975	NONE			